

Standing Committee on Public Accounts

24

Main points	368
Introduction	369
Overview of Committee's role and responsibilities	369
The members of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts.....	371
Committee accomplishments.....	371
Status of recommendations of the Committee	372
Summary.....	372
Exhibit—Status of outstanding Committee recommendations.....	373
Key terms used.....	374

Main points

The Standing Committee on Public Accounts (Committee) is a key agent of change for improving the Government's management of public resources. It helps the Assembly hold the Government accountable for its management of public resources.

The Committee reviews the activities, performance, and reports of government ministries, agencies, and Crown corporations. During its review, the Committee may inquire about past performance, current concerns, and future objectives. The Committee's discussions include broader issues including strategic plans, key risks to achieving goals and objectives, and performance measurement.

The Government has fully implemented 71% of the Committee's recommendations. Of the recommendations that are not yet fully implemented, 74% are partially implemented.

Introduction

This chapter provides an overview of the role and responsibilities of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts (Committee or PAC). It briefly describes what the Committee does, how it is structured, and how it works.

We discuss the importance of the Committee's deliberations and recommendations and highlight some of its recent accomplishments. Also, in the exhibit, we set out the status of the Committee's outstanding recommendations that are not discussed elsewhere in this Report. The remaining outstanding recommendations are included in the relevant chapters throughout our Report. Reviewing these outstanding recommendations provides the Committee with an opportunity to ask Government officials how they are progressing towards meeting the Committee's expectations.

Overview of Committee's role and responsibilities

At the beginning of each Legislature, the Legislative Assembly (Assembly) appoints members to the Committee. The Committee helps the Assembly hold the Government accountable for its management of public resources. We view this Committee as the audit committee for the Assembly and thus, for the public.

The management of public resources begins and ends in the Assembly. The Government manages Saskatchewan's public resources through a complex structure of about 290 agencies including ministries, boards, and Crown corporations (see Appendix 2 to this Report for a list of these agencies). It seeks approval for the use of these resources through laws and the Assembly's approval of the Estimates.

Each year, the Government prepares the Public Accounts. The Public Accounts consist of two reports. The first report contains the Summary Financial Statements of the Government, the financial statements of the General Revenue Fund (GRF), a Financial Statement Discussion and Analysis section, and other financial information. The second report contains details on revenues and expenditures of certain agencies that receive money from the GRF, details on capital asset acquisitions of those agencies, a listing of suppliers who received \$50,000 or more for

goods and services supplied to the GRF, key financial information of some pension plans and trust funds administered by the Government, a listing of taxes and fees, and information on road-use fuel tax accountability. The reports are available at www.finance.gov.sk.ca/.

The Assembly refers the Public Accounts and the reports of our Office to the Committee.¹ The Committee uses these reports to review the Government's management of public resources.

The Committee discusses and recommends actions on issues that Committee members and our Office raise. To meet its responsibilities, the Committee examines and evaluates the activities of government agencies (e.g., ministries, Crown corporations) included in the Public Accounts. It also reviews the issues raised in our reports based on our work at these agencies.

The Committee is not fundamentally concerned with matters of policy. Rather, it questions the economy and effectiveness of the administration of government programs.

Government officials attend the Committee meetings to answer questions about the administration of their agencies. Our Office attends the meetings to help the Committee in its reviews. The Committee formally reports its findings and recommendations to the Assembly in written reports.

The Committee's discussions and recommendations to the Assembly promotes a more open and accountable government and better management of government operations. By questioning, requesting information, and making recommendations in its reports to the Assembly, the Committee acts as an agent of change for the management practices of government. The Committee's work is crucial in a well-managed parliamentary system of government. It provides a vital link in the chain of accountability over public resources and contributes to the public's confidence in our system of government.

The Committee's meetings are televised and open to the public. Information about the composition of the Committee as well as records of

¹ Certain chapters within our reports are referred to the Standing Committee on Crown and Central Agencies.

the Committee's meetings (i.e., Hansard verbatims, minutes, videos, and reports) is available to the public on the Committee's website www.legassembly.sk.ca/committees/.

The members of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts

As of October 2010, the members of the Committee were:

- ◆ Trent Wotherspoon, Chair
- ◆ Glen Hart, Deputy Chair
- ◆ Pat Atkinson
- ◆ Dan D'Autremont
- ◆ Warren Michelson
- ◆ Lyle Stewart
- ◆ Rod Gantefoer

Committee accomplishments

Since the fall of 2009, the Committee met 5 times. During this time, the Committee continued its review of our reports on the results of our work at government agencies. These reports included our 2009 Report (Volumes 1, 2 and 3).

The Committee has not yet completed its review of our following reports:

- ◆ 2009 Report – Volume 3 (two chapters outstanding)
- ◆ 2010 Report – Volume 1

At the time of this report, the Committee's most recent report setting out recommendations is the Committee's First Report to the 26th Legislature. The Committee presented this Report to the Assembly on March 25, 2009. The report contains over 300 recommendations. The Assembly concurred in the Report. The Government responded to the Report on June 8, 2009.²

² The Government response can be found at www.legassembly.sk.ca/committees (15 Oct 2010).

Status of recommendations of the Committee

The Committee has asked us to monitor compliance with its recommendations and to report on their status. This chapter along with other chapters in our report provide an update on the status of the Committee's outstanding recommendations that the Government has not yet fully implemented.

The Committee's reports during the previous five years contain 583 recommendations. Some of these recommendations take a number of years to implement. As of October 2010, the Government has fully implemented 71% (October 2009–59%) of the Committee's recommendations. The Government has partially implemented 74% (October 2009–64%) of the remaining recommendations.³

Summary

The Committee continues to discuss broader issues including strategic planning, key risks to achieving goals and objectives, and performance measurement. Through these discussions, the Committee fosters a more open and accountable government and better management of government operations.

Our Office will continue to help the Committee carry out its important responsibilities. To encourage the implementation of the Committee's recommendations, our Office will continue to monitor the status of the Committee's recommendations.

³ Calculations are based on the Committee's recommendations up to and including the First Report to the 26th Legislature at March 25, 2009.

Exhibit—Status of outstanding Committee recommendations

The exhibit lists all of the Committee’s recommendations that the Government has not fully implemented and are not already discussed in another chapter.

PAC REPORT YEAR ⁴	OUTSTANDING RECOMMENDATION	STATUS
Cross-Government (Managing for Results)		
2007	PAC concurs: 10-1 that the Department of Corrections and Public Safety (CPS) and the Department of Highways and Transportation (Highways) should analyze and report quarterly to executive managers the departments' progress toward planned outcomes.	Partially implemented (as at December 31, 2008). Highways has implemented this recommendation. For the Ministry of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing (formerly the Department of Corrections and Public Safety), no progress has been made. We plan to follow up in 2011.
Saskatchewan Gaming Corporation		
2009	PAC concurs: 9-2 that the Saskatchewan Gaming Corporation improve its human resource plan by prioritizing its key human resource risks, analyzing human resource gaps, and setting out plans to address human resource gaps.	Partially implemented (as at December 31, 2009). We plan to follow up in 2011.
Saskatchewan Gaming Corporation (Project Management - New Casino System)		
2009	PAC concurs: 9-4 that the Saskatchewan Gaming Corporation provide complete and accurate reports on the project's progress to senior management and the Board of Directors.	Not implemented (as at December 31, 2008). We plan to follow up in 2011.

⁴ PAC Report Year refers to the year that PAC first made the recommendation in its report to the Legislative Assembly.

PAC REPORT YEAR⁴	OUTSTANDING RECOMMENDATION	STATUS
2009	PAC concurs: 9-5 that the Saskatchewan Gaming Corporation establish a process to monitor and track how well it achieved the benefits it set out for the project.	Not implemented (as at December 31, 2008). We plan to follow up in 2011.
2009	PAC concurs: 9-6 that the Saskatchewan Gaming Corporation establish a process to document the results of testing of new systems and to track and document the follow up of all risks before systems become operational.	Not implemented (as at December 31, 2008). We plan to follow up in 2011.

Key terms used

Each recommendation in the exhibit here or in another chapter includes a reference to a “PAC Report Year.” This refers to the year that the Committee first made the recommendation in its report to the Assembly. The “Outstanding Recommendation” column sets out those recommendations that the Government has not yet fully implemented. The Committee’s reports contain two types of recommendations. They are:

1. **Committee recommendations** on which the Committee expects an official written response from the Government. These recommendations are set out in bold type and are preceded by **CR** (e.g., **CR3**).

2. **Committee concurs with recommendations** of our Office. These are our Office’s recommendations that the Committee supports and agrees with but on which it does not expect an official written response from the Government. Because these recommendations are a matter of ongoing record, the Committee expects the Government to follow up on progress in complying with the recommendations. These recommendations are identified by a non-bolded number (e.g., 10-1) preceding them. The non-bolded numbers reflect the chapter and recommendation number of our related report.

For each outstanding recommendation, our Office has assessed the status of the recommendation and the progress made since our 2009 Report – Volume 3. We list the status of each recommendation as either not implemented or partially implemented. We do not list recommendations that are implemented. We indicate the date of our assessment in parentheses following the status. Generally, the date of our last assessment is based on the timing of our most recent audit work.

Not implemented—Based on the last assessment, the Government has not taken action on this recommendation.

Partially implemented—Based on the last assessment, the Government has taken some action on this recommendation.

When the Committee recommends that the Government examine, consider, study, or review an issue, the Committee needs to know what the Government decided and why the decision was taken. The Committee expects the Government's response to:

- ◆ clearly define the issue
- ◆ set out the sources of supporting information gathered by surveys, interviews, or literature searches
- ◆ outline the major factors involved—the pros and cons
- ◆ describe the action it proposes to take

This page left blank intentionally.